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Updates to *Military Psychology*

- Speeding up the review process for authors
- Five new Associate Editors, two women, one international
- 2020 Impact Factor 1.30, up from .82 in 2019
- Increase in submissions and 46% increase in article downloads so far this year
Announcements and Opportunities

Early Career Reviewer Mentoring Program: twbritt@clemson.edu

Special Issues on Suicide in the Military, Resilience, and COVID-19 in the Military

Special Issues on Extremism and Racism in the Military in development
Elements of Strong Manuscripts

- Study has clear applied value for military personnel and/or family members
- Study has strong theoretical backing with well-developed hypotheses
- Study fills a gap or replicates/extends prior research to more diverse samples
- Study has strong research design: adequately powered, longitudinal, objective measures
Lack of studies conducted at the unit or team levels

Studies that combine qualitative and quantitative designs (mixed methods)

Studies that fail to produce significant results

Meta-analyses
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coyne</td>
<td>Limitations of current spatial ability testing for military aviators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schafer</td>
<td>Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide death among Veterans and service members: A comprehensive meta-analysis of risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahum</td>
<td>Feasibility and preliminary effectiveness of mobile cognitive control training during basic combat training in the military</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>