

*Military Psychology:*  
Updates and  
Emphases

Thomas W. Britt

Department of  
Psychology

---

# Updates to *Military Psychology*

- Speeding up the review process for authors
- Five new Associate Editors, two women, one international
- 2020 Impact Factor 1.30, up from .82 in 2019
- Increase in submissions and 46% increase in article downloads so far this year

# Announcements and Opportunities

Early Career Reviewer Mentoring Program: [twbritt@clemson.edu](mailto:twbritt@clemson.edu)

Special Issues on Suicide in the Military, Resilience, and COVID-19 in the Military

Special Issues on Extremism and Racism in the Military in development

# Elements of Strong Manuscripts

Study has clear applied value for military personnel and/or family members

Study has strong theoretical backing with well-developed hypotheses

Study fills a gap or replicates/extends prior research to more diverse samples

Study has strong research design: adequately powered, longitudinal, objective measures

# Under-utilized approaches-designs

Lack of studies conducted at the unit or team levels

Studies that combine qualitative and quantitative designs (mixed methods)

Studies that fail to produce significant results

Meta-analyses

# Strong Article Presentations

Coyne: Limitations of current spatial ability testing for military aviators

Schafer: Suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide death among Veterans and service members: A comprehensive meta-analysis of risk factors

Nahum: Feasibility and preliminary effectiveness of mobile cognitive control training during basic combat training in the military